



by the U.S. Department of Justice as a “machinegun” pursuant to the same U.S. DOJ interpretation of statutory law being litigated in this matter. Judge Morrison is presiding over the case, and it is currently pending.

2. ***Rare Breed Triggers, LLC, et al v. Garland, et al*, 6:21-cv-01245-CEM-GJK (M.D. FL)**

On August 2, 2021, Rare Breed Triggers, LLC, et al., brought an APA challenge regarding the same ATF interpretation of statutory law being litigated in this matter regarding a Forced Reset Trigger (“FRT”) in which the FRT is designated by the ATF as a “machinegun.” Judge Mendoza presided over this case which was dismissed October 29, 2021, without prejudice for failure of the parties to file a timely case management report.

3. ***Rare Breed Triggers, LLC v. Garland, et al*, 3:22-cv-00085-ARS (D. ND)**

On May 16, 2022, Rare Breed Triggers, LLC, brought an APA challenge regarding the same ATF interpretation of statutory law being litigated in this matter regarding a Forced Reset Trigger (“FRT”) in which the FRT is designated by the ATF as a “machinegun.” Judge Senechal presided over this case which was dismissed on November 4, 2022, without prejudice based on venue issues.

Respectfully submitted,

EGGLESTON KING DAVIS LLP  
102 Houston Avenue, Suite 300  
Weatherford, Texas 76086  
Phone: (817) 596-4200  
Fax: (817) 596-4269

/s/ Whitney A. Davis

Whitney A. Davis  
State Bar No. 24084843

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS